## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) 8:15CR164
Plaintiff,	) 0.15CK104 )
vs.	ORDER
BRIANNA M. HAMPTON,	<u> </u>
Defendant.	{

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Brianna M. Hampton (Hampton) (Filing No. 22). Hampton seeks an additional sixty days in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Hampton has filed an affidavit wherein she consents to the motion and acknowledges she understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act (Filing No. 23). Hampton's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

## IT IS ORDERED:

Defendant Hampton's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 22) is granted. Hampton is given until **on or before September 14, 2015,** in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motions and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between July 14, 2015,** and **September 14, 2015**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 14th day of July, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge